## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
P.O. BOX 1450
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1450
www.usoto.oo

WIGGIN AND DANA, LLP ATTENTION: PATENT DOCKETING ONE CENTURY TOWER P.O. BOX 1832 NEW HAVEN, CT 06508-1832

DEC 1 0 2008

Applicant: Perrier Appl. No.: 10/583,809

International Filing Date: December 21, 2004

Title: POLYMERISATION USING CHAIN TRANSFER AGENTS

Attorney Docket No.: 102889-202 Pub. No.: US 2007/0299221 A1 Pub. Date: December 27, 2007

This is a decision on the request for a corrected patent application publication under 37 CFR 1.221(b), received on February 27, 2008, for the above-identified application.

The request is DISMISSED.

Applicant requests that the application be republished because the patent application publication contains material errors in the specification and in claim 4.

37 CFR 1.221(b) is applicable "only when the Office makes a material mistake which is apparent from Office records. Any request for a corrected or revised patent application publication other than as provided in paragraph (a) of this section must be filed within two months from the date of the patent application publication. This period is not extendable." A material mistake must affect the public's ability to appreciate the technical disclosure of the patent application publication, to determine the scope of the patent application publication, or to determine the scope of the provisional rights that an applicant may seek to enforce upon issuance of a patent. <sup>1</sup>

The errors noted by requestor in the specification are not material Office errors under 37 CFR 1.221. The text was not printed correctly due to the quality of the text. The errors noted by requestor in this published application are due to the quality of the text, as the letters are very small and the double bond in N=N is very faint and small. The quality of some of the text is poor, as it is small, which makes it difficult to electronically reproduce by digital imaging and optical character recognition. See 37 CFR 1.52. Additionally, a member of the public will be able to understand the context by the surrounding information in the specification.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Changes to Implement Eighteen-Month Publication of Patent Applications, 65 FR 57023, 57038 (Sept. 20, 2000), 1239, Off. Gaz. Pat. Office Notices 63, 75 (Oct. 10, 2000) (final rule).

Applicant is advised that he may want to file application papers that are clearer, as the errors are due to the quality of the text. The text with respect to the superscripts and subscripts are very small, which makes it difficult to read and to electronically reproduce by digital imaging and optical character recognition. Applicants have been advised to file applications having cleaner and larger text with sufficient clarity and contrast to permit reproduction, such as electronic reproduction by digital imaging and optical character recognition, which will avoid errors in the patent application publication process. See 37 CFR 1.52.

Applicants are advised that a "request for republication of an application previously published" may be filed under 37 CFR 1.221(a). Such a request for republication "must include a copy of the application in compliance with the Office electronic filing system requirements and be accompanied by the publication fee set forth in § 1.18(d) and the processing fee set forth in § 1.17(i)." If the request for republication does not comply with the electronic filing system requirements, the republication will not take place and the publication fee set forth in § 1.18(d) will be refunded. The processing fee will be retained.

Any request for republication under 37 CFR 1.221(a) must be submitted via the EFS system as a "Pre-Grant Publication" and any questions or request for reconsideration of the decision should be addressed as follows:

By mail to:

Mail Stop PGPUB

Commissioner for Patents

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Va. 22313-1450

Inquiries relating to this matter may be directed to Mark Polutta at (571) 272-7709.

Mark Polutta

Senior Legal Advisor

Office of Patent Legal Administration

Office of the Deputy Commissioner

for Patent Examination Policy